SELECTED WORKS BY TOM LININGER
(Last updated in August 2015)

I. TREATISE

THE NEW WIGMORE: VOLUME ON IMPEACHMENT AND REHABILITATION (with Roger Park) – published by Aspen in 2012, with annual supplements thereafter

- “[T]he principal modern treatise on evidence . . .”
  
  U.S. Supreme Court Justice Elena Kagan

- “Wigmore’s treatise was the dominant work of evidence scholarship in the 20th century. The new volume by Park and Lininger concerns the impeachment and rehabilitation of witnesses, one of the central, and most perplexing, areas of evidentiary law. The volume will certainly be widely used, as other volumes have been, by judges, practitioners, and academics . . . Lininger is one of the outstanding scholars of evidence of the younger generation.”

  Richard Friedman, University of Michigan Law School

- “The New Wigmore is a justly prestigious series, and I am sure that the volume by Park and Lininger will be influential and highly valuable -- particularly given the exceptional expertise and strong professional reputation that each author brings to this project. This will be a significant contribution to evidence law scholarship, and the bench, bar, and academy owe the authors a debt of gratitude.”

  David Sklansky, Stanford Law School

II. LAW REVIEW ARTICLES AND SIMILAR WORKS

Green Ethics for Lawyers, 57 B.C. L.REV. ___ (2016)

An Ethical Duty to Charge Batterers Appropriately, 22 Duke J. Gender L. & Pol’y ___ (2015) (symposium)

Deregulating Public Interest Law, 88 Tulane L.Rev. 727 (2014)

Ethical Rules for Domestic Violence Courts, presented at annual AALS conference (2013)


Is It Wrong to Sue for Rape?, 57 Duke L.J. 1557 (2008)


Bearing the Cross, 74 FORDHAM L. REV. 101 (2005)


III. SHORTER WORKS

Report to Oregon Legislature on State Statutes Criminalizing the Invasion of Privacy, solicited by counsel to Oregon House and Senate Judiciary Committees (2013)


Reconcilable Differences, 85 TEX L. REV. SEE ALSO 57 (2007)

Davis and Hammon: A Step Forward, or a Step Back?, 105 MICH. L. REV. FIRST IMPRESSIONS 28 (2006)


Yes, Virginia, There is a Confrontation Clause, 71 BROOK. L. REV. 401 (2005) (symposium)

Oregon's Response to Blakely, 18 FED. SENTENCING REP. 29 (2005) (symposium)