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CC: Prof. Greg Dotson, University of Oregon, School of Law
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Re: Policy Options for Attaching Labor Standards to Employment in the Clean Energy Technology Sector

Introduction:

While many analysts report job expansion potential in the transition to a carbon neutral economy, the quality of those jobs is often overlooked. In order to spark a paradigm shift that the population at large can embrace—or at least cautiously endorse—new policies need to deliberately impose labor standards as well as environmental objectives. As business and government adapts to fill jobs in green economy markets, labor standards should also be adapted to provide for living wages, healthcare and retirement benefits, and career ladders.

New climate change policies are commonly accompanied by promises of revitalized economies and green jobs. However, according to unions and labor advocates, these policies commonly fail to incorporate strong and comprehensive labor standards, creating a divide between fair labor advocates and climate policy advocates. Labor organizations often hesitate to support these programs because they are skeptical that quality jobs will simply appear as a function of increasing the prevalence of clean energy technologies in climate change policies.
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1 This document is the policy work product of law students participating in the University of Oregon School of Law Environmental Policy Practicum class. This document is not legal advice and the law students who contributed to this document do not have an attorney-client relationship with the House Select Committee on the Climate Crisis. This document does not represent the views of the University of Oregon or the University of Oregon, School of Law.


This skepticism is not misplaced. Though many climate change policies have the potential to create green jobs, the employment rarely provides sufficient wages and benefits to those suffering joblessness in fossil fuel and manufacturing dependent communities. Moreover, many climate change policies also fail to incorporate labor standards that ensure livable wages, fringe benefits, and other worker rights. However, intertwining labor standards with climate change policies enhances the quality of jobs created from those policies, ensuring green jobs are good jobs.

This report identifies and recommends potential policy options to ensure high-road labor standards for workers employed in the clean energy sector. The report defines high-road labor standards that would apply to the programs discussed throughout the report. Federal programs can require clean energy technology companies to implement the standards in order to receive federal contracts and funding, tax credits, and other incentives.

Current federal laws, such as the Davis-Bacon Act, require prevailing wages or other heightened labor standards for designated types of projects that receive federal funding or tax credits. In preparing various policy options, the report explains existing labor standards that attach to the receipt of federal funds and recommends how these standards should be strengthened, drawing from state and proposed federal programs. The report will also note and assess potential legal barriers that could inhibit the proposed modifications and establishment of federal programs.

In addition to identifying existing programs that could be strengthened to ensure a just transition, the report identifies jobs within the energy sector that do not benefit from the requirements of existing programs and proposes policies that could potentially address those deficiencies. Specifically, the report finds manufacturing, installation, and service jobs in the clean energy sector generally do not reap many of the benefits that attach to projects supported by federal funding. Accordingly, the report discusses how labor standards can attach to tax credits and honorary certifications to reach manufacturing and service jobs.

Finally, the report concludes with a brief discussion of the importance of encouraging the adoption of high-road labor standards, the benefits that could be realized in depressed, rural communities, and the importance of immediately improving labor standards in the renewable energy sector.

---
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I. Overview of Employment in the Clean Energy Technology Sector

A. Summary of Green Energy Sector Employment in the U.S. in 2018

Available employment in clean energy technology sectors are on the rise. Traditional energy and energy efficiency sectors employed 6.7 million people (4.6% of the workforce) in 2018, which is a 2.3% increase from 2017.\(^5\) However, petroleum fuels added the most jobs of any traditional energy sector; natural gas, coal mining, and fuels production also saw an increase in jobs.

Conversely, 242,000 were people employed in the solar industry, a decline of 3.2%, even though 11.06 gigawatts of capacity were added to the grid. However, wind energy jobs had an increase of 3.5%, with a total of 111,000 people working in wind energy.\(^6\) Solar and wind provided the most construction jobs in 2018, even after falling far behind traditional energy sources in total jobs. Employers in the U.S. Energy sectors anticipate a 4.6% increase in employment growth for 2019; however, 77% of employers reported difficulty in hiring qualified workers. Lack of experience, training, or technical skills is nearly-universally cited as the primary reason employers can’t fulfill employment needs. Policy options in this report attempt to address training deficiencies by offering apprenticeship and training opportunities to ensure the workforce is adequately prepared to meet new job opportunities, as discussed in further detail in Section II.

B. Policy Emphasis on Manufacturing, Installation, and Service

Traditionally, many sectors related to infrastructure and construction have strong union representation and developed in a manner that often provides more attractive jobs than other sectors, such as manufacturing and service. In fact, within the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO), building and construction trades have their own department, which includes representation from the Utility Workers Union of America (UWUA); United Steelworkers (USW); United Association Union of Plumbers, Fitters, Welders & Service Techs (UA); International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW); among many others.\(^7\) Accordingly, jobs in construction and infrastructure are generally accompanied by stronger workers’ rights and better pay and benefits.

While it is important to continue to address high-labor standards in these sectors by supporting existing unions in their missions to enhance and maintain competitive salaries and benefits, the labor standards in manufacturing and service sectors need to be addressed. Thus, it is important to focus on developing good jobs and high labor standards in the manufacturing, installation, and service sectors related to clean energy technology. Clean energy technology projects could

---


\(^6\) Id.

provide excellent economic benefits to depressed areas like the rural Midwest, but it is important to ensure that the jobs available in these areas are attractive and provide competitive salaries and benefits that allow people to provide for their families.

II. **High-Road Labor Standards**

The phrase “high-road jobs” is both an affirmative statement of what workers and families need to thrive and a response to “low-road” employers that engage in labor violations (i.e. wage theft and safety violations), set low pay without benefits, and hire contingent workers or contractors instead of permanent employees. High-road jobs are sustainable because they go beyond prevailing wages and benefits to include other important elements of good-quality jobs, such as paying true, living family wages, access to affordable health care, attention to worker safety, and opportunities for skills training and career ladders in the workplace. In some instances, it also includes flexible work schedules, childcare assistance, and other family-friendly policies.

Opponents of mandated higher labor standards argue that they make projects more expensive. However, from a policy perspective, high-road jobs increase worker productivity and job satisfaction while reducing dependency on taxpayer-funded social safety nets. The families of employees who are paid a living wage and receive health benefits are less likely to rely on social safety nets such as Medicaid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, or other tax payer funded subsidies.

In addition, setting higher labor standards enables the high-road employers to be more competitive in a bidding process because they are not under-bid by employers that rely on contingent workforces or cut corners on safety and compliance with wage and hour laws. A report by the American Sustainable Business Council found that “[v]alues-based business leaders say incentives would help them adopt more high-road practices—and they believe incentives and regulations are needed to motivate other firms in their industries.”

Federal high-road job mandates and incentives can therefore have a synergistic effect by raising the overall standards and expectations in the fast-growing renewable energy and green jobs sectors. These benefits can be further extended when combined with project labor agreements, union neutrality agreements, and community benefits agreements, as explained in the following sections.

---


11 Id. at 3.
A. Locally Prevailing Wage and Fringe Benefits

Conditions attached to federal funding or tax exemptions for clean energy technology projects may require that employees working for an employer that contracts with the federal government, or receives other federal grants, funds, or incentives, be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on construction projects or contracted manufacturing and service of a similar character in the locality. Prevailing wage law only covers specific occupations held by construction workers and does not apply to other workers on other public works projects. However, this standard could easily be applied as a condition for other occupations working on clean energy technology projects. Alternatively, living wages have become more common as a goal in state Green New Deal proposals and as a condition of Community Benefit Agreements, an agreement that ensures community support for a project by negotiating an agreement between the developer and a broad community coalition. Ensuring that local workers on clean energy projects are receiving living wages is critical to a successful transition to renewable energy.

Other fringe benefits are important to creating good jobs that benefit the local community through clean energy technology projects. In addition to living wages, employers should be encouraged to provide all employees with health insurance, paid sick and vacation leave, and employer-sponsored retirement plans. The quality of employer-sponsored health insurance has been continuously declining in recent years, and the U.S. is one of the only developed nations without universal healthcare. Until universal healthcare is provided for all citizens of the U.S., it is important for the government to encourage employers to provide good health insurance to their employees. This benefits everyone as studies have shown that employees are more productive when employers provide health insurance.

The U.S. is also the only advanced economy without a federal paid leave policy. Furthermore, when these benefits are provided are provided by employers, they disproportionately favor employees with higher salaries. While this is unlikely to change in the foreseeable future, employers should be pressed to provide these benefits to all employees involved in clean energy technology projects through conditional federal funding or tax incentives. Retirement benefits are also an important consideration and could be encouraged through conditional federal funding. Whether through pensions or employer contributions like a 401(k) plan, these are important benefits to ensure workers’ financial security at retirement.

---

13 **See, e.g., H.F. 2836, 2019 Leg., 91st Sess. (Minn. 2019).**
15 **See infra Part II § D (defining Community Benefit Agreements and explaining the benefits they provide).**
19 **Id.**
Living wages and strong fringe benefits are critical components to attracting workers to jobs in clean energy technology. Employer-sponsored health insurance, paid leave, and employer-sponsored retirement plans should be available to all employees in this sector. Conditioning federal funding for clean energy technology projects on living wages and certain benefits incentivizes employers to adopt some of these policies in a way that benefits workers and provides them with the means to support a family.

B. Employment Agreements:

1. Project Labor Agreement (PLA)

Currently, federal regulations require all contractors and subcontractors engaged in large-scale construction projects with the federal government to negotiate project labor agreements (PLA). A PLA is a collective bargaining agreement that applies to a specific construction project and lasts only for the duration of the project and typically requires all bidders to agree in advance to specific terms. PLAs set mutually binding procedures to resolve labor disputes, establish procedures for selecting subcontractors, negotiate guarantees against strikes and lockouts, and typically require employers to agree to use union labor, pay prevailing wages, and provide benefits. PLAs are an exception to the National Labor Relations regulatory requirement that a collective bargaining representative is chosen by a majority worker vote. However, PLAs have limited applicability because they only afford protections to employees working under a construction contract with the federal government.

Though federal regulations currently require PLAs, PLAs have always been politically contentious and are subject to change with each presidential administration. In 1992, President George H.W. Bush issued an executive order prohibiting agencies from requiring PLAs for federal construction projects. Since then, the requirement has been upheld and banned several times as a function of the presidential administration’s political party affiliation. The pattern continued into President Obama’s administration, which issued an executive order to re-establish PLAs requirements to “promote the efficient administration and completion of Federal Government contracts.” However, unlike previous administrations, President Obama’s executive order was effectuated through federal agencies promulgating an administrative rule to implement the requirement. Though the Trump administration has not directly addressed PLAs through executive action like previous administrations, PLAs are still highly contentious, and

---

22 48 C.F.R. § 22.504(a)-(c).
PLA opponents have actively been trying to persuade President Trump to eliminate the requirement.28

PLAs are commonly required for clean energy projects because clean energy projects often rely on federal government grants or funding. Federal policy should aim to strengthen labor standards in a PLA that is required for clean energy technology development. For example, Senator Merkley’s proposed bill, “Good Jobs for the 21st Century Act,” requires specific provisions to be included in a PLA for clean energy technology companies to be considered “qualified” and thus able to obtain federal funding and incentives.29 The bill stipulates that the following provisions must be included in a PLA for an entity to qualify for a federal contract or funding. The PLA must—

1) bind all contractors and subcontractors on the project or contract;
2) allow all contractors and subcontractors to compete for contracts and subcontracts without regard to whether they are a party to a collective bargaining agreement;
3) contain guarantees against strikes, lockouts, and other similar job disruptions
4) include effective, prompt, and mutually binding procedures for resolving labor disputes arising during the PLA;
5) provide mechanisms for labor management cooperation on matters of mutual interest and concern, including productivity, quality of work, safety and health
6) not require mandatory arbitration for any dispute involving a worker engaged in a service for the contractor or subcontractor.
7) include an explicit neutrality policy on any issue involving the organization of employees of the entity, contractor, or subcontractor, for purposes of collective bargaining.30

2. Labor Peace Agreement (LPA)

Federal law should require clean energy technology companies to require employers negotiate a labor peace agreement (LPA) with a union if the federal government has a “proprietary interest” in the company. In contrast to a PLA, an LPA is an agreement between an employer and a union where one or both sides waive certain rights with regard to union organizing and related activity.31 LPAs “arise when a [government] asserts a ‘proprietary interest’ in a particular facility or development project, usually as the result of financial assistance to a private sector employer.”32 The agreement compels employers to grant organizing concessions to a union in exchange for abstention in strikes, pickets, and other workplace disruptions.33 Though LPAs

30 Id. § (a)(2) (discussing “Covered Project Labor Agreement”).
32 Id. at 3.
33 Id. at 4-5.
have various requirements dependent on jurisdiction, the LPAs typically include the following provisions:

1) Employers must sign LPAs with any union that requests it.
2) Employers must grant workplace access and provide employee information, including names, job titles, and contact information, to unions early in the organizing campaign.
3) Employers must agree to union neutrality, which require employers to refrain from making disparaging statements about the union or intervening in an organizing campaign.
4) Unions must promise not to strike, boycott, or engage in other tactics that are disruptive to the workplace and consent to arbitration of disputes.34

Some LPAs also require employers to recognize a union based on signed cards rather than the results of a secret ballot election.35

LPAs have emerged on the state and local government level to satisfy the “market participant” exemption to federal preemption challenges. Though the preemption challenge specifically dealt with a State’s use of a PLA for a state-funded construction project, the court held that the pre-hire agreements for state-funded projects was not preempted by federal labor laws. In its holding, the court established that such pre-hire agreements are not preempted if Congress has not expressed or implied that “a State may not manage its own property when it pursues its purely proprietary interests and where analogous private conduct would be permitted.”36

Thus, states and local governments have relied on this holding to justify its intervention whenever it asserts a “proprietary interest” in a particular facility or development project.37 Consequently, LPAs have been increasingly enacted across various jurisdictions, including San Francisco, Pittsburgh, Milwaukee County, and Washington, D.C.38 In practice, local governments have required LPAs in a broad variety of circumstances, including (1) when a city receives ongoing revenue through a lease of city-owned property, (2) a project is used to repay city-provided loan and debt service on bonds, (3) a city has guaranteed loans for a project, or (4) the city has some other “significant ongoing economic and nonregulatory interest that is at risk in the project’s final success.”39 Accordingly, on the federal level, requiring LPAs where the federal government has as proprietary interest in clean energy technologies would strengthen labor standards in a broad range of circumstances.

35 Id.
36 Id.
C. Employment Preferences

1. Locally Sourced Employment

Labor standards should include provisions that require entities to source local employment. Local hire programs are important because they direct local resources back to members of the community where the project or program is taking place. The investment in local communities tends to direct resources to low-income neighborhoods by targeting opportunities to low-income residents and people of color who might not otherwise benefit from a new development. Moreover, local hire programs tend to produce indirect benefits, such as reducing fragmentation during the development process, increasing communication among developers, employers, communities and local job training, providing enhanced job readiness and retention support services. Local hiring programs have documented successfully increasing the rate of local hire and providing more opportunities to low-income communities.

Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand has proposed this policy at the federal level with S.2404, the “Build Local, Hire Local Act.” The definition of “local,” as defined in the proposed bill, is “hiring within geographical boundaries of the area in which the project is located, as determined by the recipient of [federal funds], in coordination with the head of the relevant Federal agency[].” This proposal emphasizes policies reflected in the recently introduced bill, “Build Local, Hire Local Act.” Importantly, the “Build Local, Hire Local” requires the geographical area for which workers are considered “local” to “(1) include high-poverty, high-unemployment zip codes and (2) be the size of a county, multi-county, statewide, or multi-statewide region.” Within the geographic area, the Act requires employers to give priority to (1) veterans, (2) individuals from underrepresented populations, such as women and ethnic minorities, and (3) individuals with a barrier to employment as defined in the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act, excluding ex-offenders and disabled individuals. The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act identifies a wide variety of barriers that inhibit individuals from joining the workforce.

---

42 Id. at 4.
43 Id. at 10, 12, 13.
45 However, the Bill stipulates that nothing in the proposed Act should be construed as prohibiting interstate hiring. Id. § 111(a)(1)(A)-(B).
46 Id. § 111(c)(1)-(3).
47 29 U.S.C. § 3102(24)(A)-(N) (2015) (including displaced homemakers, low-income individuals, Indians, Alaska Natives, and Native Hawaiians, individuals with disabilities, older individuals, ex-offenders, homeless individuals, youth who are in or have aged out of the foster care system, English language learners, individuals with low levels of literacy, and individuals facing substantial cultural barriers, eligible migrant and seasonal, individuals within 2 years of exhausting lifetime eligibility under part A of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), single parents, long-term unemployed individuals, such other groups as the Governor involved determines to have barriers to employment.)
Though “Buy Local, Hire Local” targets a wide range of projects that fall outside the clean energy sector, it stipulates specific requirements regarding implementation, enforcement, and monitoring.\textsuperscript{48} The Act requires the Federal agency providing funds to ensure the entity carrying out the project prioritizes hiring in accordance with the enumerated standards.\textsuperscript{49} Specifically, the Act stipulates the applicable percentage of priority workers that are necessary for compliance, increasing incrementally by year:

(1) for fiscal year 2020, 10 percent;
(2) for fiscal year 2021, 20 percent;
(3) for fiscal year 2022, 30 percent;
(4) for fiscal year 2023, 40 percent; and
(5) for fiscal year 2024 and each fiscal year thereafter, 50 percent.\textsuperscript{50}

Importantly, policymakers should exercise caution when implementing “hire-local” initiatives so that the policies can comply with World Trade Organization’s Article III of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, as discussed in further detail in the legal barriers section.\textsuperscript{51} To potentially avoid promulgating policy that modifies conditions of competition in a way that disfavors imports and nonlocal labor, the policy could avoid mandating or conditioning incentives on the procurement of goods that are manufactured locally. Alternatively, a policy could require federal entities to implement a preference to award projects to employers or contractors that demonstrate an ability and commitment to purchase products that were manufactured locally. This could potentially be implemented by utilizing a point system, as discussed in the certification system,\textsuperscript{52} which was held to modify the conditions of competition in a manner that disfavors imported components and non-local labor.\textsuperscript{53}

\begin{center}
2. \textit{Skilled and Trained Workforce}
\end{center}

Local hiring should be complemented with programs that ensure workers are adequately trained for new positions in the green energy sector. According to the 2019 U.S. Energy and Employment report, every segment of the electric power generation sector reported hiring difficulty: 34\% of employers reported difficulty filling installation positions, 44\% reported difficulty finding qualified engineers and scientists, and 39\% reported difficulty hiring technicians or mechanical support.\textsuperscript{54} Notably, 76\% of manufacturing employers reported it was difficult to hire new employees.\textsuperscript{55}

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{48} See Build Local, Hire Local, S. 2404, 116th Cong. § 3(1) (listing variety of infrastructure programs that must comply with the Act’s requirements).
\textsuperscript{49} Id. § 111(b)(1).
\textsuperscript{50} See Id. § 111(b)(2).
\textsuperscript{52} See discussion infra Section III.C.
\textsuperscript{53} Id. ¶ 7.319.
\textsuperscript{55} Id.
\end{footnotesize}
### Electric Power Generation Sector — Reported Occupations with Hiring Difficulty by Industry, Q4 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utilities</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Wholesale Trade, Distribution, and Transport</th>
<th>Professional and Business Services</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technicians or mechanical support (49%)</td>
<td>Installation workers (34%)</td>
<td>Engineers/scientists (44%)</td>
<td>Sales, marketing, or customer service representatives (45%)</td>
<td>Management (directors, supervisors, vice presidents) (36%)</td>
<td>Technicians or mechanical support (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrician/construction laborers (31%)</td>
<td>Sales, marketing, or customer service representatives (31%)</td>
<td>Sales, marketing, or customer service representatives (23%)</td>
<td>Management (directors, supervisors, vice presidents) (19%)</td>
<td>Engineers/scientists (33%)</td>
<td>Management (directors, supervisors, vice presidents) (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineers/scientists (23%)</td>
<td>Electrician/construction laborers (29%)</td>
<td>Management (directors, supervisors, vice presidents) (16%)</td>
<td>Technicians or mechanical support (19%)</td>
<td>Sales, marketing, or customer service representatives (16%)</td>
<td>Engineers/scientists (23%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Electric Power Generation Sector — Reasons for Hiring Difficulty by Industry, Q4 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utilities</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Wholesale Trade, Distribution, and Transport</th>
<th>Professional and Business Services</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of experience, training, or technical skills (40%)</td>
<td>Lack of experience, training, or technical skills (50%)</td>
<td>Lack of experience, training, or technical skills (46%)</td>
<td>Lack of experience, training, or technical skills (44%)</td>
<td>Lack of experience, training, or technical skills (50%)</td>
<td>Lack of experience, training, or technical skills (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location (30%)</td>
<td>Difficulty finding industry-specific knowledge, skills, and interest (20%)</td>
<td>Competition/small applicant pool (25%)</td>
<td>Difficulty finding industry-specific knowledge, skills, and interest (29%)</td>
<td>Difficulty finding industry-specific knowledge, skills, and interest (28%)</td>
<td>Location (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty finding industry-specific knowledge, skills, and interest (21%)</td>
<td>Competition/small applicant pool (18%)</td>
<td>Location (20%)</td>
<td>Location (21%)</td>
<td>Competition/small applicant pool (20%)</td>
<td>Competition/small applicant pool (17%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most employers surveyed noted that lack of experience, training, or technical skills was the primary reason it was difficult to fill positions. Location and competition within the industry (competing for employees in a small applicant pool), were also significant factors for employers’ difficulty filling positions. If federal policies are going to hold employers to a higher labor standard, these policies must also address the shortage of qualified workers to fill green energy positions.

---

**Wind Electric Power Generation – Hiring Difficulty by Industry**

- Construction: 15% Very difficult, 58% Somewhat difficult
- Manufacturing: 21% Very difficult, 53% Somewhat difficult
- Wholesale Trade, Distribution and Transport: 15% Very difficult, 77% Somewhat difficult
- Professional Business Services: 19% Very difficult, 66% Somewhat difficult
- Utility: 17% Very difficult, 50% Somewhat difficult
- Other Services: 27% Very difficult, 40% Somewhat difficult

---

**Solar Electric Power Generation — Hiring Difficulty by Industry**

- Construction: 15% Very difficult, 54% Somewhat difficult
- Manufacturing: 22% Very difficult, 60% Somewhat difficult
- Wholesale Trade, Distribution and Transport: 22% Very difficult, 73% Somewhat difficult
- Professional Business Services: 27% Very difficult, 57% Somewhat difficult
- Utility: 38% Very difficult, 31% Somewhat difficult
- Other Services: 23% Very difficult, 54% Somewhat difficult

---

56 Id.
57 Id.
For employers in wind manufacturing, 79% said it was either somewhat difficult or very difficult to hire qualified employees. Similarly, 78% of employers in solar manufacturing reported it was either somewhat difficult or very difficult to hire qualified employees.

To ensure graduates of an apprenticeship program obtain employment upon completion, high-road labor standards should incentivize or require employers to hire graduates of approved apprenticeship programs. Several programs, including California’s enacted public contracting labor standards, the Evergreen Economy Plan proposed by Jay Inslee during his presidential candidacy, and the proposed Good Jobs for the 21st Century Energy Act, require employers to hire individuals enrolled in apprenticeship programs to ensure the training programs are backed by strong hiring.58

California’s labor code specifically requires an employer working to complete a contract or project for a public entity to demonstrate an ability to use, and commit to use, a skilled and trained workforce.59 A “skilled and trained workforce” requires a certain proportion of individuals working on the project to either be enrolled in a registered apprenticeship program or meet “skilled journeyperson” requirements.60 “Registered apprenticeship programs” are limited to programs that have registered with the Department of Labor or a federally-recognized state apprenticeship agency where the program is located.61 “Skilled journeypersons” must have either graduated from an apprenticeship program for the applicable occupation or has at least as many hours of experience that would be required to graduate from an apprenticeship program.62

Skilled and trained workforce programs generally require a proportion of workers to be enrolled in or have completed an apprenticeship program. California’s labor code mandates certain proportions of the workforce meet “skilled and trained” labor requirements.63 The proportion of skilled and trained labor increases increase with every year from the Code’s enactment, beginning with a thirty percent “skilled and trained” workforce requirement.64 In contrast, the “Good Jobs for the 21st Century Act” simply requires entities employed in construction projects to “demonstrate the ability to use and commit to use individuals enrolled in a registered apprenticeship, which . . . to the greatest extent practicable, constitute not less than 20% of individuals working on such a project.”65

---

59 CAL. PUB. CONT. § 2600. Note: California details specific terminology that reduces ambiguity. Accordingly, the “trained and skilled workforce,” “registered apprenticeship,” and “skilled journeymen terminology is sourced from California’s public contracting code.
60 Id. § 2601(d)(1), (2)(A)-(D).
61 Id. § 2601(c)(1) (requiring the Chief of the Division of Apprenticeship Standards of the Department of Industrial Relations to approve apprenticeship programs pursuant to CAL. LAB. § 3075 (2017).
62 Id. § 2601(e).
63 CAL. PUB. CONT. § 2601(d)(1), (2)(A)-(D).
64 CAL. PUB. CONT. § 2601(d)(1), (2)(A)-(D) (requiring 20% of the workforce to meet “skilled and trained” requirements a year after enactment, increasing the percentage by 10% each year so that the workforce must be 60% comprised of “skilled and trained” labor by 2020.)
Thus, high-road labor standards should incentivize or require recipients of federal funds, contracts, or incentives to hire a “skilled and trained workforce”—a workforce comprised of workers who have completed or are enrolled in apprenticeship programs. Several enacted and proposed programs encourage hiring workers participating in an apprenticeship program, however the proposed programs vary in their implementation.  

## D. Community Benefit Agreements

Community Benefit Agreements (CBAs) are a method for stakeholders from affected communities, governments, and private industry to work together to maximize the benefits of a project for the community as a whole through a legally binding agreement. Community benefit groups work with developers to exchange community support for benefits provided by the developer, which may include local hiring, local workforce training, and any number of other benefits for the community. The CBA process promotes values like inclusiveness, transparency, enforceability, efficiency, and coalition-building in the development process, as well as projecting clear outcomes of a given project. These agreements provide enforceable, reciprocal benefits for the community and developers, such as community support through public testimony and comments in exchange for living wages and local hiring. CBAs have been used for fossil fuel, urban renewal, and development projects, but there is no reason these agreements could not be applied to clean energy technology projects to provide strong jobs in a growing industry.

CBAs are flexible so that each agreement can tailor to a specific project and community’s needs and therefore typically vary in the provisions they require. For example, the Kingsbridge Armory CBA in New York City required a living wage for every job related to the development of the project, the majority of non-construction workers to be local hires, local contracting, and continued contributions to a fund controlled by the community coalition to be used for specified community needs, among other benefits. The LAX CBA required $15 million in training funds for airport and aviation-related jobs; hiring priority for local, low-income, and special needs individuals; and increased opportunity for local, minority, and women-owned businesses within the airport. A CBA for a modernization project of a Chevron refinery in Richmond, CA required skills-building programs for youth and adults, a local hiring plan, pre-apprenticeship
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construction skills training programs with direct entry agreements to the local union, and other community investments.\textsuperscript{75}

Community Benefit Agreements could be an excellent strategy for communities to ensure specific clean energy projects will provide direct benefits to its members through legally-binding, enforceable agreements with project developers. These legally binding agreements between project developers and community groups could require the developer to provide local jobs, livable wages, and any number of other benefits that the community feels is important.

E. Community and Stakeholder Advisory Committees

States have implemented other strategies to ensure local communities and other stakeholders have voices in the transition to a clean economy. While non-binding, the formation of coalitions and committees to provide input regarding these projects is important to ensure that project benefits are determined and allocated equitably. Much of the focus in creating these groups is to ensure not only the creation of clean energy jobs, but to provide high-quality, sustainable jobs that support affected communities through livable wages, benefits, and training programs.

New York’s Climate and Community Protection Act (2019) amends New York’s environmental conservation law to ensure the increased jobs and economic activity stemming from climate policies flow to underrepresented and disadvantaged communities.\textsuperscript{76} While the law, itself, does not establish any labor standards in working towards achieving its greenhouse gas reduction goals, it does establish the process for establishing related regulations.\textsuperscript{77} The Act establishes a climate action council to, among other things, convene advisory panels, establish a just transition working group, and engage stakeholders while developing regulations to meet established emissions targets.\textsuperscript{78} The just transition working group must focus on training and workforce opportunities for disadvantaged communities and underrepresented population segments in the clean energy workforce while minimizing disruption during community transitions.\textsuperscript{79}

This Act is also explicitly subject to New York’s current prevailing wage laws.\textsuperscript{80} Further, it expressly emphasizes the importance of ensuring labor harmony and efficient performance of work in the clean energy sector by requiring workers to be well-trained and adequately compensated.\textsuperscript{81} Specifically, it stresses that New York has a strong interest in establishing strong statewide labor standards during this transition—including equity goals of providing quality jobs in safe work environments—while encouraging individual agencies and local governments to set even higher standards.\textsuperscript{82}
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State legislators in Minnesota introduced a Green New Deal bill in April 2019, which is referred to as the Energy and Climate Finance and Policy Division, but has not yet been taken to a vote. This bill would direct the commissioner of a Climate Change Advisory Council to approve job training programs in industries that produce green products and services (including clean energy technologies) that are targeted at training youth, minorities, indigenous peoples, low-income individuals, fossil fuel workers, and released prisoners. The commission would be obligated to take reasonable actions to ensure the creation of high-quality jobs that pay wages that support families, recognize the rights of workers to organize and unionize, and ensure that workers have the opportunities and economic assistance to adapt successfully to the transition to clean energy (particularly in marginalized communities).

Committees consisting of stakeholders in the community could influence decisions made by developers through public pressure. While an enforceable agreement would not exist, if these groups were large enough and carried enough influence in the locality, then they may be able to exert some pressure in a way that the developer considers alternatives that provide good jobs to ensure they have the support of the community.

III. Policy Options for Incorporating Labor Standards

A. Policy Options for Federally Funded Programs, Grants, and Contracts

1. Existing Policy and Labor Standards

Several federal laws impose labor requirements when an entity contracts with the federal government. Specifically, the Davis Bacon Act (DBA), the Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act (PCA), and the McNamara-O’Hara Service Contract Act (SCA) aim to impose labor standards for all sectors of employment including construction, manufacturing, and services. However, the DBA imposes stronger standards by requiring locally “prevailing wages” and applies to all “federally funded” projects through “related acts” — not just contracts with the government.

The Davis Bacon Act (DBA), applies to mechanics and laborers working under construction contracts exceeding $2,000, as well as projects funded by the federal government through grants, loans, loan guarantees, and insurance. The DBA is intended to prevent contractors from
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underbidding local wage levels by requiring contractors to pay locally “prevailing wages” for employees performing tasks on the “site of the work.” In addition to paying prevailing wages, the DBA requires employers to execute weekly payments, post the wage scale at the job site, and provide overtime pay. Further, “related acts” extend the applicability of DBA requirements to numerous federal funding actions. However, unless a “related act” specifically imposes the requirements, or the contract involves constructing a “public work” and the federal government is not a direct party to the contract, the DBA requirements will not apply.

The Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act (PCA) provides labor standards for employees manufacturing or furnishing goods, supplies, articles, or equipment working under a federal contract exceeding $10,000. Specifically, the PCA requires payment at the prevailing minimum wage, overtime, safety and health standards, and posting requirements.

The McNamara-O’Hara Service Contract Act (SCA) attempts to close the gap in labor standards protection between DBA-covered construction contracts and PCA-covered manufacturing contracts by applying labor standards to service contracts in excess of $2,500. Similar to the PCA, the SCA mandates contracts in excess of $2,500 to include prevailing minimum wage and fringe benefits, recordkeeping and posting requirements, and safety and health provisions.

2. Attaching High-Road Labor Standards to Federally Funded Clean Energy Technology Projects and Contracts

Several potential alternatives are available to extend the DBA’s application beyond qualifying contracts to all federally funded projects. For instance, Senator Merkley proposed the “Good Jobs for the 21st Century Energy Act,” which attaches some of the high-road labor standards to provisions apply to the “Related Acts,” under which federal agencies assist construction projects through grants, loans, loan guarantees, and insurance.
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any incentive payments issued by the Secretary of Energy. The legislation would require renewable energy facilities to comply with DBA standards as a condition to receiving incentive payments. Under this approach, the standards would apply to all renewable energy projects funded by the Secretary of Energy rather than all federally funded projects.

However, labor standards for federally funded projects need not be limited to payments issued by the Secretary of Energy. Several opportunities may be available to impose labor standards contingent on federal grants and contracts issued by the Department of Transportation, the Environmental Protection Agency, and potentially other federal agencies as they relate to clean energy technologies.

For example, the renewal of the Surface Transportation and Authorization Act, which the federal government authorizes every five to six years, may provide opportunities to impose labor standards as a condition to receiving federal funding or contracts. Though DBA requirements currently attach to federal transportation projects, the DBA requirements can be expanded to incorporate the high-road labor standards discussed in Section II. Because the transportation sector is recognized as the top contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, there is a close tie between transportation policy and climate adaptation.

America’s Transportation Infrastructure Act of 2019 highlights the relationship between transportation and climate emissions by including provisions that specifically addresses climate change. Specifically, the bill includes mitigation measures, which provides funding for projects that contribute to the attainment of ambient air pollution standard, such as projects that reduce carbon emissions from cars and trucks or infrastructure by supporting bicycle and pedestrian travel. Additionally, the Act includes adaptation measures, which reduces vulnerability and increases resilience to climate change impacts, such as administering competitive grant programs, funding “protective features” that lessen the risk of recurring damage from extreme weather, and establishing federal interagency working group to transition to hybrid and electric vehicles. Accordingly, the Department of Transportation can ensure high-road labor standards by conditioning the receipt of federal transportation funds as they relate to climate change or adaptation on the imposition of the high-road labor standards discussed in Part II.

In addition, the policy should include reporting requirements to ensure compliance and clearly establish the consequences from failure to adhere to such requirements. To ensure the labor standards are effective, employers should be required to provide a monthly report to the federal government on compliance with the labor standards.
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funding entity that demonstrates compliance with the labor standards.\textsuperscript{108} If the employer fails to submit a complete report, the federal entity should be empowered to withhold further payments or funding until the employer submits a complete report. If the report does not demonstrate compliance with labor requirements, the federal entity must withhold payments until the employer provides a plan to achieve substantial compliance.\textsuperscript{109}

3. **Attaching High-Road Labor Standards to Power Purchase Agreements (PPA)**

High-road labor standards could be included in power purchase agreements (PPA) where the federal government is a party to the contract. A power purchase agreement is a contract between a third-party developer and customer in which the developer installs, owns, and operates an energy system on a customer’s property.\textsuperscript{110} Once the developer produces energy, the customer purchases the generated electricity and receives stable, low-cost electricity.\textsuperscript{111} Federal agencies commonly contract with energy producers through a PPA to obtain electricity.\textsuperscript{112} Under current interpretations of the DBA, it is undetermined whether prevailing wage requirements extend to federal purchases of power.\textsuperscript{113}

Accordingly, a policy that attaches high-road labor standards to a PPA would ensure that renewable energy companies provide prevailing wage jobs and additional protections. California implemented a similar policy within the State by amending its prevailing wage standard in its labor code. Specifically, California amended its “public work” definition, which triggers prevailing wage requirements: “[P]ublic work’ also means any construction, alteration, demolition, installation, or repair work done under private contract when . . . the work is performed in connection with the construction or maintenance of renewable energy generating capacity of energy efficiency improvements.”\textsuperscript{114} In effect, the amendment extended labor requirements to government purchases of power through a PPA.

\textsuperscript{108} See, e.g., CAL. PUB. CONT. CODE § 2602(c)(1) (2019) (requiring entities to report compliance with “skilled and trained” workforce requirements). This report proposes extending the reporting to all high-road labor standards.
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4. Potential Legal Barriers to Attaching High-Road Labor Standards to Federal Funds

The federal government does not have many legal bars to attaching high labor standards to grants or other Federal funding programs. While it would be straightforward for the federal government to impose these requirements on private recipients of federal funds, it could be problematic if the federal government wanted to impose the requirements on states. Congress may require a state to comply with conditions in order to receive a grant, but the conditions may not be coercive and must leave the state a genuine choice in deciding whether to accept the offer.\textsuperscript{115} Congress has the authority to condition the receipt of funds based on a state’s compliance with restrictions related to the use of those funds, so that Congress may “ensure[] that the funds are spent according to its view of the ‘general Welfare.’”\textsuperscript{116} These conditions provided by Congress are valid under the Spending Clause unless the conditions become “so coercive as to pass the point at which ‘pressure turns into compulsion.’”\textsuperscript{117} This should not be a legal obstacle for labor standards that are attached to federal programs that fund private entities and would only limit federal grants provided to states.

Although this is something to be conscious of, it would likely not be a concern as applied to conditional grants or federal funding. In fact, this report could not identify any circumstance where the federal government faced legal consequences for placing conditions on grants. Agencies are granted broad discretion in applying conditions to federal funding, as long as the government is not also trying to withhold existing funding based on new conditions. The conditions must be related to the use of the funds, which would likely not be an issue because the high-road labor standards would be a known condition before the issuance of federal funds for clean energy technology projects and are directly related to the project.

Another potential legal barrier to some policies, particularly local hiring preferences, is international trade agreements. Recently, the World Trade Organization held that several U.S. state measures that encouraged the procurement of local labor and manufactured goods for renewable energy projects was inconsistent with Article III of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.\textsuperscript{118} The Michigan program provided extra renewable energy credits to “electricity providers who generate electricity using systems made from local equipment or by local workforce,”\textsuperscript{119} which was held to modify the conditions of competition in a manner that disfavors imported components and non-local labor.\textsuperscript{120} The General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, as well as other similar international trade agreements, could be obstacles to policies that favor local goods and labor, and therefore, place imported goods and non-local labor at a competitive disadvantage.

\textsuperscript{116} Id. at 580.
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While not a legal barrier, it is also worth noting that some of these policies would likely be met with political resistance. These political obstacles are beyond the scope of this paper but are worth consideration.

B. Policy Options for Tax Incentives

1. Existing Tax Incentives for Clean Energy Technologies

Outside of apprenticeship programs, which are generally deficient if not coupled with other support for transitional workers, little federal support exists to ensure good jobs and livable wages for maintenance, service, and manufacturing jobs of the clean energy technology sector. Accordingly, non-federal funded programs need to be implemented to incentivize industries to provide better labor practices in this area. The following programs consider offering tax credits to industries that implement fair labor practices and adhere to procurement standards and neutrality provisions.

Currently, a vast array of tax incentive programs are available to clean energy technology companies to alleviate financial risk and incentivize renewable energy product development. The two most significant tax benefits include the investment tax credit (ITC), accelerated depreciation, and modified accelerated cost recovery system (MACRS). The ITC incentivizes solar wind production through paying entities a 30% tax credits, and geothermal, microturbines a 10% tax credit. Accelerated depreciation allows producers to allocate wear and tear costs on a piece of equipment. Further, the IRS allows commercial PV systems to utilize the five-year MACRS, allowing producers to take advantage of their tax benefits within the first five years despite its ability to produce power over a longer period.

The renewable electricity tax credit (PTC) provides a tax credit for electricity generated using qualifying green energy sources. Credits are allotted by the per-kilowatt-hour (kWh) of energy produced, so businesses are rewarded for the actual energy produced—not merely the capacity of the plant when it was built. Currently, the program has been suspended for non-wind technologies and will only apply to wind facilities that begin construction before the end of 2019. Even though the benefit expires for new applicants at the end of the year, the production credits can be claimed for the first ten years of production, so PTC’s will continue to bring in funds for green energy producers for the next decade, even if the program is no longer active.
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Since the PTC was first enacted in 1992, it has been extended eleven times with revisions to include additional qualifying resources.128

The Joint Committee on Taxation estimates that between 2018 and 2022, under the current version of the PTC, tax expenditures for the PTC are estimated to be $25.8 billion.129 Not all green energy produces qualify for the same credit level: wind, closed-loop biomass, and geothermal energy technologies qualify for the maximum credit amount, and a wide range of other renewable technologies qualify for a reduced credit amount.130 When the PTC was first enacted in 1992, its purpose was to “promote the development and utilization of certain renewable energy sources.”131

2. Attaching High-Road Labor Standards to Production Tax Credits (PTC)

Throughout the many renewals and iterations of the PTC, the legislature has continually recognized its value in the “development of renewable energy infrastructure, which advances environmental and energy policy goals.”132 If the legislature shifts its energy policy goals to include high-road labor standards and is willing to renew the PTC, the credit could certainly be amended to require that plants beginning construction after 2019 meet a set of employment standards for all on-site workers and work with manufacturers who meet comparable employment standards. President Obama’s FY2017 budget proposed a permanent extension of the PTC, which would include adding solar facilities as a qualifying green energy producer.133 The legislature could enact the Obama PTC proposal, which would broaden the credits’ impact on the broader green energy production grid, and could also attach labor requirements to the list of requirements new applicants must meet to access the ten-year benefit.

Although the PTC is a long-running program, which has motivated renewable energy development for over twenty-five years and has immense influence on wind facility construction in particular, many in the green energy sector are advocating for a policy shift away from the PTC status quo. Because the PTC is dependent on the energy produced and used in the grid, and not on the capacity of the facility, many wind producers are impacted by hydro surges and lose money if the grid doesn’t require a significant amount of energy from the producer receiving PTC credits.134 Many are advocating for higher taxes based on carbon emissions for energy producers to even the playing field for renewable energy producers rather than continuing to provide tax credits for renewable energy production to enable green energy to compete with fossil fuels.135

128 Id.
129 Id.
130 Id.
131 Id.
132 Id.
133 Id.
135 See id.
The recommendations discussed here for the existing version of the PTC would complement an expected new proposal by the House Ways and Means Committee in the Green Act. This proposal is a broad energy tax bill that will extend renewable production and investment tax credits, which are scheduled to phase down under a 2015 tax law.

Washington’s Clean Energy Transformation Act (2019) utilizes similar tax exemptions for machinery and equipment used in the generation of clean energy while also incentivizing “high labor standards, including family level wages and providing benefits including health care and pensions[.]” The exemptions apply to equipment directly used for generating energy using fuel cells, wind, sun, geothermal, tidal, and biomass. The tax exemptions are paid as a remittance to equipment purchasers range from fifty to one-hundred percent of the sales and use tax for the equipment purchase. Crucially, the remittance is dependent on meeting certain labor standards as certified by Washington’s Department of Labor and Industries.

Accordingly, the Act provides a seventy-five percent remittance for local and state sales tax for projects that pay prevailing wages and a one-hundred percent remittance will be provided for projects with a project labor agreement. The standards require the project labor agreement to include procurement from business owned by women, veterans, or minorities; procurement from companies that have a history of complying with state and federal wage and hour laws; utilization of apprenticeship programs; and preferred hiring of local laborers from the area where the project is being constructed. If a purchaser does not meet all of these standards, the Department of Labor and Industries may still choose to certify it for exemption if the purchaser has made a good-faith effort to meet all of the requirements.

3. Existing Tax Benefits for Oil and Gas that Could be Amended to Benefit Clean Energy Technologies

Currently, oil and gas companies are able to deduct expenses for intangible drilling and development costs. Intangible costs include “any patent, secret process or formula, goodwill, trademark, trade brand, franchise, or other like property.” This benefit could be extended to intangible costs of development for wind and solar energy producers, especially as companies are continually investing in new technologies to increase renewable energy production. To encourage high-road labor standards, the benefit could be made available exclusively to companies that earned the high-road certification, as described below.
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Additionally, current code carves out a special credit for small oil and gas producers. The marginal well production credit is an example of the legislature incentivizing a greater national objective (maximizing energy production) even when the energy producer is not the most financially lucrative. Similarly, the legislature could demonstrate a high-road labor standard as an overarching value in the renewable energy sector by rewarding and incentivizing employers for adhering to a set of value-oriented standards.

4. **Attaching High-Road Labor Standards to Opportunity Zones**

Another opportunity to incentivize companies to adhere to high-road labor standards is through the Opportunity Zone program. The legislature could require employers to satisfy a high-labor threshold before they are able to access Opportunity Zone tax benefits. The 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act established the Qualified Opportunity Zone program to provide a tax incentive for private, long-term investment in economically distressed communities. Investors in these programs are given an opportunity to defer and potentially reduce tax on recognized capital gains.

Opportunity zones are designed to spur economic development by providing tax benefits to investors. The tax benefits to the investor are substantial and include deferral of capital gains and a step-up of up to fifteen percent on capital gains from property investments that are reinvested in the opportunity zone. If the investment remains in the opportunity zone project for ten years, then there is no tax on the appreciated value of the project property.

To become a Qualified Opportunity Fund, an eligible corporation or partnership self-certifies by filing Form 8996, Qualified Opportunity Fund, with its federal income tax return. The Opportunity Fund then invests in a redevelopment project in a federally designated opportunity zone. Although the stated purpose is to assist economically distressed communities, there are no requirements about job creation, affordability of the resulting property, or how the project serves the local community.

Opportunity zones are viewed as an under-utilized incentive for renewable energy investors. According to one report, there are over $6 trillion in eligible capital gains within the U.S. and $300 billion of it has been earmarked for Opportunity Funds to date. Jon Bonanno, Chief Experience officer at New Energy Nexus (a renewable energy accelerator) explains: “Clean
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energy folks are beginning to jump all over this. It’s a giant money fire hose, and we want to point it at the things we want, like mobility electrification, clean electricity generation, and demand flexibility. . . . At the moment, we know many enormous capital gains investors seeking quality clean energy projects. There is no lack of capital. There is a lack of good clean energy projects.”

Given that one of implied purposes of this act is to assist economically distressed communities, it would make sense to incentivize or require community benefits agreements. These agreements would ensure some local benefits, such as prevailing wages, community enhancements (e.g. childcare or affordable housing), career advancement ladders for local workers, and union neutrality agreements. In addition, there should be requirements that construction contractors demonstrate a history of compliance with existing worker health and safety, and wage and hour, labor laws.

5. **Attaching High-Road Labor Standards to Tax Credits for Electric Vehicles**

An important and proven incentive for electric vehicles sales are federal tax credits. The current tax credit was passed in the George W. Bush administration as part of the Energy Improvement and Extension Act of 2008. Credits ranged from $2,500 to $7,500 for battery-electric and plug-in hybrid passenger cars, based on the size of the battery pack. Unlike a purchase rebate, which is paid out to consumers at/near time of purchase, an income-tax credit is taken when the buyer files their U.S. income tax return for the year in which the electric car was purchased. The primary federal tax incentive for plug-in electric vehicles is the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 30D credit.

The expiration date for the tax credit is separate for each manufacturer and only comes after an automaker sells 200,000 qualified vehicles. Tesla and GM have reached this threshold, and credits for Tesla and GM vehicles began phasing out in 2019. A current legislative proposal would end these credits early.

There are now two significant proposals to extend the electric vehicle tax credit program, and these proposals provide an opportunity to insert incentives for manufacturers that provide high-roads jobs.
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150 *Id.*
152 A pending legislative proposal, The Fairness for Every Driver Act, S. 343, H.R. 1027, 116th Cong. (Apr. 2019), would eliminate incentives and increase taxes on electric vehicles. It would repeal the existing plug-in EV credit and would impose an annual fee on alternative fuel vehicles that would contribute to the Highway Trust Fund (HTF). While some argue this fee might be viewed as a user fee for highway use, and could improve infrastructure, other analysts conclude that by increasing the cost of ownership, it would reduce the number of consumers willing to substitute a plug-in EV for a petroleum-fueled vehicle.
The Driving America Forward Act was proposed in the Senate and would expand the tax credit by another 400,000 vehicles per automaker for a total of 600,000. However, the maximum tax credit would be $7,000, instead of prior $7,500, and it would continue to apply to purely electric vehicles as well as some plug-in hybrids. The major benefactors of this proposal would be GM and Tesla which have maxed out sales and have declining or eliminated tax credits under existing law.

The Electric Cars Act of 2019 is a joint Congressional House and Senate proposal that extends the tax credit for new qualified plug-in electric drive motor vehicles through 2029. In addition, the bill modifies the credit to

1) remove the limitation on the number of vehicles per manufacturer that are eligible for the credit,
2) allow a taxpayer to assign the credit to a financing entity, and
3) allow an unused credit to be carried forward for five years.

The bill also extends through 2029 the tax credits for (1) alternative fuel vehicle refueling property, and (2) alternative motor vehicles.

The legislation under consideration could be amended to provide additional incentives to manufacturers who provide high-road jobs and comply with best practices for labor-community standards. For example, an additional tax credit of $500 per car at each credit level could be available directly to manufacturers that include all or some of the components of the high-road labor standards discussed in Section II.

6. Potential Legal Barriers to Attaching High-Road Labor Standards to Tax Credits

As with grants and other forms of federal funding, few legal barriers exist for the federal government when applying conditions to tax incentives. It seems that Congress is granted broad discretion in providing conditional tax incentives under the Taxing and Spending clauses. It is likely that, as with grants and other federal funding, that Congress cannot use these tax incentives in a coercive manner. If tax incentives and exemptions are carefully drafted, then this should be fairly easy to avoid.
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As mentioned above, international trade agreements could act as another potential legal barrier to certain policies. The WTO held that tax rebates provided by Minnesota\textsuperscript{155} and Washington\textsuperscript{156} that encouraged the use of components manufactured in-state were held to be inconsistent with the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs because they treated imported products less favorably than similar domestic products.\textsuperscript{157} For the same reasons, tax incentives provided by Montana\textsuperscript{158} that encouraged the use of domestic products were held to be inconsistent with the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs.\textsuperscript{159} Tax incentives that provide an advantage to domestic products and labor over imported products and non-local labor may be in violation of the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs or other international trade agreements.

C. Policy Options for Certifying Employers Utilizing High-Road Labor Standards

One approach that could encourage greater use of high labor standards would be a voluntary certification program with benefits provided to certified companies. The voluntary certification program would encourage employers in the clean energy technology sector to utilize high-road labor standards in exchange for certification through the Department of Labor. The Department of Labor would implement the program and favorably designate clean energy technology companies that employ high-road labor standards through certification. In turn, the company could utilize the certification for promotional purposes. Additionally, the certification could also be utilized as a tool for encouraging companies to voluntarily adopt high labor standards by providing additional benefits to certified companies, such as eligibility for tax incentives or preference in government contracts.

The Department of Labor currently implements a similar program that honors employers that hire and retain veterans.\textsuperscript{160} The \textit{Honoring Investments in Recruiting and Employing (HIRE) Vets Medallion Program}, stems from the HIRE American Military Veterans Act of 2017, which requires the Department of Labor to “annually solicit and accept voluntary information from employers for consideration of employers to receive a HIRE Vets Medallion Award.”\textsuperscript{161} To implement the program, the Department of Labor designated criteria for both “platinum” and “gold” certification, dependent on the percentage of veterans in new hires, retained hires, and overall veteran employees.\textsuperscript{162} Similarly, the Department of Labor could provide recognition to clean energy technologies that utilize high-road labor standards.
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Several options are available for the Department of Labor to assess applicant eligibility and high-road labor standards. First, the standards could simply be a prerequisite to obtain federal contracts, funding, or other incentive. However, rigid labor requirements may be difficult or unworkable for certain projects if hiring local, components of a project labor agreement, or other standard is not feasible. An alternative to requiring the standards as a condition for federal incentives includes allocating a point system for high-road labor standards, providing flexibility to both employers and regulators.

Similar point-based systems have successfully motivated businesses across the world to act in a more socially responsible manner. LEED is the most widely used green building rating system in the world. According to LEED, “61% of corporate leaders believe that sustainability leads to market differentiation and improved financial performance.” By obtaining a LEED certification on their buildings, businesses are setting themselves apart, and signaling to customers who value minimized environmental impact that their values align. In 2006, Adobe announced that its new headquarters had received three LEED Platinum awards, which garnered widespread publicity and “generated a net present value return of almost 20 to one on its initial investment.”

Green energy manufacturers could be offered a similar points-based certification that encourages employers to adhere to high-road labor standards to earn various levels of certification that signals value alignment to their customers and the greater public. LEED participants can earn points across nine basic areas: integrative process, location and transportation, sustainable sites, water efficiency, energy and atmosphere, materials and resources, indoor environmental quality, innovation, and regional priority. The green energy high-road labor standard certification could be organized in a similar manner with areas like worksite safety, competitive wages, healthcare, training and education, and worksite safety all earning points.

Similarly, ISO 14001 is an internationally used system that establishes a set of criteria that helps businesses modify their internal processes to meet environmental standards. Unlike LEED, ISO 14001 is less focused on the end product of a businesses’ efforts, but is geared towards modifying process that businesses engage in to arrive at their final work product. The ISO environmental management system creates a structure for businesses to “identify, manage, monitor and control their environmental issues in a holistic manner.” The ISO 14001 model could be modified to create a model that alters green manufacturers’ employment standards from a similarly “holistic” approach. The certification system could provide high-road standards for the green energy sector throughout the process: from hiring and training, to maintaining a safe
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work environment, through promotions and long-term employee management and retirement. Some of the benefits the ISO system promotes are insurance for participants that they’re meeting all current statutory and regulatory requirements. This benefit could easily be translated into a similar high-labor standard system, and work in conjunction with other tax and federal grant programs considered in this proposal. The ISO system also promises participants that their company with see an improved reputation and the confidence of stakeholders, competitive and financial advantages through improved efficiencies, and encourage better performance of suppliers and partners. All of these advantages could be easily translated into a parallel labor-focused system.

A recently passed bill in Illinois utilizes a point system when issuing licenses to entities seeking to operate a dispensary. The bill requires license applicants to include provisions in their application, which are assigned a number of points. The Department awards points based on the sufficiency of the applicant’s response to the required information. Provisions that assign points include a sustainable employment training plan demonstrating employees understand applicable rules and laws; labor and employment practices providing a safe, healthy, economically beneficial working environment; and community benefit agreements showing the license applicants desire to engage with the community.

Primarily, this framework could be used to assess companies’ labor practices and certify that applicants are eligible for certain benefits. To determine available points, the Department of Labor would assign each high-road labor standard a certain amount of points depending on its importance for ensuring good jobs. For example, payment of prevailing wages to employees and contracted employees may be worth more points than a commitment to hire local. To obtain points for eligibility, employers must demonstrate their compliance with various high-road labor standards.

However, the Department should also include disqualifying characteristics, such as past violations, or other indications that the employer should not be recognized for their hiring practices. The Department reviews the application and awards points upon finding that the provided information is sufficient. Subsequently, the Department tallies the awarded points and will issue a certification if the employer satisfies the required number of points in an application.

In addition to using the certification for labelling purposes, the certification could be expanded to determine eligibility for various government benefits and incentives. For example, the Department of Energy may utilize a similar point system by issuing grants to applicants that include provisions that correspond with a number of points. Applicants that include labor-standards that correspond with points would obtain a preference in the grant selection process.
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Accordingly, points would be assigned to various high-road labor standards discussed in this Section. Thus, assigning points to specific labor standards incentivizes the applicant to apply labor high-road labor standards when implementing its grant-funded project.

The point system also can impose a tiering system based on the number of points an employer obtains, similar to the Department of Labor’s veteran program. Thus, obtaining a certain number of points can elevate an employer’s status and allow him or her to qualify for heightened federal incentives. For example, certain tax incentives awarded based on adopted high-road labor standards, such as prevailing wage and fringe benefits, may be increased if the employer also includes community benefit agreements or local hiring practices.

IV. Conclusion

Ultimately, attaching labor standards to federal funding and tax incentives could provide a plethora of benefits to the communities most impacted by renewable energy projects across the nation, similar to some effects of the New Deal following the Great Depression. Renewable energy projects, coupled with high-road labor standards, could instill feelings of pride and ownership in laborers who are aiding in the transition to a renewable energy economy.

By providing laborers with good jobs with living wages and fringe benefits like employer-supported health care and retirement plans, the middle class will be strengthened, which will bolster the economy and reduce the wealth gap. Most companies will not adopt high-road labor standards on their own because it detracts from short-term profits; however, employers who adopt high-road standards benefit long-term from the health and job satisfaction of their employees.

Now is the time to encourage the adoption of high-road labor standards in renewable energy technology projects. The energy sector is in transition, and renewable energy is growing quickly. Jobs in renewable energy have grown rapidly in rural areas across the country, particularly in areas in the rural Midwest. In 2017, the clean energy sector provided more than 158,000 jobs in the rural Midwest, and there was a six percent increase in clean energy jobs in that region between 2015 and 2016.

Wind energy, in particular, could continue to provide more jobs throughout rural areas of the U.S., as ninety-nine percent of the country’s total wind capacity exists in rural areas across the nation. The wind and solar potential throughout these areas could provide sustained economic growth in depressed regions. If the proper policies are implemented, people living in these rural areas could have access to jobs that provide living wages and strong benefits that could provide these communities the economic stability that has been lacking in recent decades.
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In the past, the U.S. economy thrived due to programs that supported our country’s laborers. A working class supported by high-road labor standards is a primary driver of the American economy, and the legislature must ensure that the adoption of these standards is encouraged in order to allow workers to continue to support families and to meaningfully contribute to the economic success of our nation.